

Enhancing Psychological Literacy through English Language Education

Cătălin DRĂCȘINEANU¹

¹ Professor Ph.D., "Petre Andrei" University, Iași, catalindracsineanu@yahoo.com

Abstract

The concept of psychological literacy, understood as the ability to apply psychological knowledge, principles, and modes of reasoning in personal, professional, and civic life, has become a central concern in psychology education. At the same time, the global role of English as the lingua franca of science and academia has transformed English language learning into a process with implications extending far beyond communicative competence. This article argues that English language education, particularly when it incorporates psychological content and reflective pedagogical strategies, can serve as a powerful medium for fostering psychological literacy. Organized into two chapters, the article first explores the theoretical intersections between psychological literacy and English language education, with emphasis on epistemological, cognitive, and intercultural dimensions. The second chapter provides practical illustrations, including case studies and curricular applications, to demonstrate how English language teaching can simultaneously enhance linguistic proficiency and the critical, reflective, and ethical capacities central to psychological literacy. The discussion highlights both the opportunities and challenges of this interdisciplinary approach and calls for greater collaboration between language and psychology educators in order to prepare students as psychologically literate citizens capable of navigating the complexities of contemporary global societies.

Keywords: psychological literacy, English language education, psychological knowledge, reflective pedagogical strategies.

How to cite: Drăcșineanu, C.(2025). Enhancing Psychological Literacy through English Language Education. *Anuarul Universității "Petre Andrei" din Iași Fascicula: Asistență Socială, Sociologie, Psihologie*, 33, 134-139.
<https://doi.org/10.63331/upasw/33/14>

Introduction

The increasing complexity of contemporary societies, characterized by multicultural exchanges, rapid technological transformation, and the intensification of global interdependence, requires individuals to develop both advanced communication skills and a robust understanding of psychological principles. In this context, the convergence between English language education and psychological literacy emerges as an essential area of inquiry. English, as the dominant lingua franca in academic, professional, and social domains, offers unique opportunities not only for linguistic competence but also for fostering critical thinking, intercultural sensitivity, and psychological insight. The concept of psychological literacy, defined as “the capacity to adaptively and intentionally apply psychological knowledge to meet personal, professional, and societal needs”¹, resonates with the broader goals of education in an era where communication and psychological awareness are increasingly intertwined.

The justification for addressing this topic lies in the recognition that English language classrooms are no longer neutral spaces for linguistic practice; rather, they function as transformative arenas where learners encounter diverse perspectives, negotiate cultural identities, and cultivate socio-emotional skills. Previous studies suggest that integrating psychological concepts into language instruction enhances learners’ motivation, metacognitive awareness, and capacity for empathy². Moreover, students of psychology and related disciplines particularly benefit from acquiring English proficiency within a framework that simultaneously deepens their psychological literacy, preparing them for both academic research and applied professional contexts. This dual development is essential in shaping globally competent graduates who are able to communicate across disciplines and cultures while applying psychological insights to real-world challenges.

Accordingly, this article explores how English language education can serve as a vehicle for enhancing psychological literacy. It is organized into two main chapters: the first investigates the theoretical and pedagogical foundations underpinning the relationship between English language learning and psychological literacy, while the second illustrates practical applications, including classroom strategies and case studies, that demonstrate the transformative potential of this integrated approach.

Chapter I. Conceptual Intersections between Psychological Literacy and English Language Education

The emergence of psychological literacy as a guiding principle in psychology education reflects a broader shift toward lifelong, transferable competencies. Cranney and Dunn³ describe psychological literacy as the adaptive application of psychological knowledge to meet personal, professional, and societal needs, while McGovern et al.⁴

¹ J. Cranney și D. S. Dunn, eds., *The Psychologically Literate Citizen: Foundations and Global Perspectives* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011), 9.

² J.-M. Dewaele și C. Li, „The Link between Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety, Second Language Tolerance of Ambiguity and Self-Rated English Proficiency among Chinese Learners,” *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching* 2, nr. 1 (2012): 47–66, <https://doi.org/10.14746/ssllt.2012.2.1.3>

³ Cranney și Dunn, eds., *The Psychologically Literate Citizen*.

⁴ T. V. McGovern, L. A. Corey, J. Cranney, W. E. Dixon Jr., J. D. Holmes, J. E. Kuebli, K. Ritchey, R. A. Smith, și S. Walker, „Psychologically Literate Citizens,” în *Undergraduate Education in Psychology: A Blueprint for the Future*

emphasize that it is not confined to psychology specialists but should characterize all graduates as informed, reflective citizens. At its core, psychological literacy entails a synthesis of declarative knowledge of psychological concepts, procedural knowledge of methods and reasoning, and conditional knowledge concerning the appropriate use of psychology in varied contexts⁵. These capacities are inseparable from communication, since the ability to explain, translate, and negotiate psychological insights with diverse audiences is a hallmark of psychological literacy.

The role of English as the global medium of psychological science reinforces the interconnection between language learning and disciplinary knowledge. The dominance of English in international publishing and academic exchange means that proficiency in English is often the gateway to psychological research and debate^{6,7,8}. Without access to English, students in non-English-speaking contexts risk exclusion from the most recent theoretical and empirical developments. Moreover, the act of articulating psychological ideas in a second language itself enhances comprehension. Swain⁹ describes this as "linguaging," whereby learners reorganize and clarify knowledge through linguistic expression. In this sense, the demand to process and reproduce psychological concepts in English operates as a cognitive amplifier, compelling deeper conceptual engagement and strengthening metacognitive awareness.

Equally important are the intercultural implications of integrating psychology with English language learning. Psychological constructs such as motivation, emotion, or identity are culturally situated, and their interpretation requires sensitivity to context^{10,11}. Language learning is itself an intercultural practice, exposing learners to alternative perspectives and cultural frameworks¹². When psychological literacy is cultivated through English, learners must both examine the cultural variability of psychological phenomena and negotiate these insights through a global lingua franca. Such engagement fosters what Byram¹³ terms "intercultural citizenship," equipping learners not only with academic knowledge but also with the ethical and communicative capacities to engage responsibly across cultures.

Taken together, these perspectives suggest that English language education and psychological literacy are not parallel endeavors but mutually reinforcing. English functions as both the medium for accessing psychological knowledge and as the cognitive and intercultural space where learners refine their reflective, critical, and communicative

of the Discipline, ed. D. Halpern (Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2010), 9–27, <https://doi.org/10.1037/12063-000>

⁵ D. Halpern, ed., *Undergraduate Education in Psychology: A Blueprint for the Future of the Discipline* (Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2010).

⁶ U. Ammon, *The Dominance of English as a Language of Science: Effects on Other Languages and Language Communities* (Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 2001).

⁷ K. Hyland, *Academic Discourse: English in a Global Context* (London: Continuum, 2009).

⁸ B. Seidlhofer, *Understanding English as a Lingua Franca* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011).

⁹ M. Swain, "Linguaging, Agency and Collaboration in Advanced Language Proficiency," in *Advanced Language Learning: The Contribution of Halliday and Vygotsky*, ed. H. Byrnes (London: Continuum, 2006), 95–108.

¹⁰ J. J. Arnett, "The Neglected 95%: Why American Psychology Needs to Become Less American," *American Psychologist* 63, no. 7 (2008): 602–614, <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.63.7.602>

¹¹ S. J. Heine, *Cultural Psychology*, 3rd ed. (New York: W. W. Norton, 2016).

¹² M. Byram, *Teaching and Assessing Intercultural Communicative Competence* (Clevedon: Multilingual Matters, 1997).

¹³ M. Byram, *Teaching Intercultural Citizenship across the Curriculum: The Role of Language Education* (Strasbourg: Council of Europe Publishing, 2021).

capacities. When conceptualized in this way, English language education becomes a natural partner in the broader educational mission of fostering psychologically literate citizens.

Chapter II. Practical Strategies, Case Studies, and Applications

The integration of psychological literacy into English language education requires pedagogical innovation and institutional support. One widely adopted approach is content-based instruction, including Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL), which blends disciplinary material with language development^{14, 15}. In psychology, CLIL modules can focus on topics such as cognitive processes, social behavior, or developmental stages. For example, a module on memory might expose students to the work of Loftus on eyewitness testimony and then engage them in a simulated courtroom exercise in English, where they must apply research findings while developing argumentative and rhetorical skills. Such tasks simultaneously develop disciplinary knowledge, communicative competence, and ethical awareness.

Practical case studies illustrate the potential of this approach. At a Romanian university, an English for Psychology course was designed around authentic psychological readings, discussion tasks, and applied projects. Students analyzed classic experiments such as Zimbardo's Stanford Prison Experiment or Asch's conformity studies, while also applying psychological theories to contemporary issues such as misinformation or climate change anxiety. Assignments included both written essays and oral presentations in English. Evaluations demonstrated gains in vocabulary, academic writing skills, and oral fluency, but also revealed that students became more critically engaged with psychological content, reported higher confidence in reading English-language research, and recognized greater relevance of psychology to their everyday lives.

Another effective strategy involves dialogic pedagogy, in which structured debates and simulations encourage collaborative inquiry and ethical reasoning. A notable example comes from a Japanese university where students debated, in English, the ethics of cognitive enhancers in education. Participants were required to draw on empirical evidence, present reasoned arguments, and respond to counterclaims. Post-debate reflections indicated that students not only developed fluency and argumentative skills but also became more critically aware of the ethical complexities surrounding psychological applications in society.

Reflective writing has also proven valuable in linking psychological content with personal experience while developing second-language competence. Moon¹⁶ argues that reflective writing promotes metacognition, and this is particularly evident in contexts where psychology students write journals in English applying concepts such as cognitive dissonance or locus of control to their own lives. A study in South Korea found that students who engaged in such reflective writing demonstrated greater lexical variety, conceptual depth, and self-awareness¹⁷. Teachers observed that students increasingly employed psychological

¹⁴ D. Coyle, P. Hood, și D. Marsh, *CLIL: Content and Language Integrated Learning* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010).

¹⁵ M. A. Snow și D. M. Brinton, *The Content-Based Classroom: New Perspectives on Integrating Language and Content* (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2017).

¹⁶ J. A. Moon, *A Handbook of Reflective and Experiential Learning: Theory and Practice* (London: Routledge Falmer, 2004).

¹⁷ H. Kim, „Reflective Writing in English as a Foreign Language: A Study of Psychology Students in South Korea,” *Journal of Language and Education* 6, nr. 2 (2020): 64–76, <https://doi.org/10.17323/jle.2020.10312>

terminology spontaneously in discussions, showing that language and conceptual growth can progress in tandem.

Digital technologies further expand the possibilities through intercultural virtual exchanges. One project connecting European and Latin American psychology students required participants to compare cultural attitudes toward mental health and to design awareness campaigns in English. Results showed not only improvement in communicative competence but also enhanced intercultural sensitivity: European students highlighted institutional barriers to therapy, while Latin American peers emphasized family and community dynamics. These exchanges underscored the culturally contingent nature of psychological constructs and helped students recognize both commonalities and divergences in human experience.

Successful integration of psychological literacy into English curricula also depends on teacher preparation and assessment practices. Language instructors need at least a foundational grasp of psychology to select and mediate appropriate materials, while psychology faculty working in English-medium contexts should collaborate with language specialists to ensure accessibility. Assessment should balance linguistic accuracy with psychological reasoning, ethical awareness, and critical engagement. For instance, a capstone project might require students to review literature on social media addiction, present findings in English, and produce a reflective essay linking theory with personal or societal implications. Such multidimensional evaluation captures the dual aims of language and psychological literacy education more effectively than traditional testing alone.

Although promising, this integration is not without challenges. Cognitive overload may occur when students grapple with both complex content and linguistic demands, necessitating careful scaffolding. Cultural sensitivity is essential to avoid imposing Western-centric psychological models¹⁸. Moreover, the institutional separation of language and psychology programs can hinder collaboration unless deliberate efforts are made. Yet, when such challenges are addressed, English language education can evolve into a transformative space where learners acquire not only communicative competence but also the reflective, ethical, and intercultural capacities central to psychological literacy.

Conclusion

Psychological literacy and English language education, though traditionally treated as separate domains, share common educational aims: to cultivate reflective, critical, and socially responsible individuals. English serves as both the gateway to global psychological knowledge and as the arena in which learners develop higher-order cognitive and intercultural skills. Practical case studies demonstrate that content-based instruction, dialogic pedagogy, reflective writing, and intercultural collaboration can be designed to serve both linguistic and psychological ends. The integration of these fields thus represents an innovative educational approach capable of preparing learners to participate in global scientific communities, engage ethically with diverse cultural perspectives, and apply psychological reasoning to contemporary challenges. In a globalized and complex world, such integration is not optional but essential for forming psychologically literate citizens capable of navigating the linguistic, cultural, and ethical demands of modern societies.

The impact of this study on research in the field lies in its call for an expanded vision of language education, one that transcends narrow linguistic objectives to embrace the

¹⁸ Arnett, "The Neglected 95%," 602–614.

cultivation of holistic human capacities. By framing English language education as a pathway to psychological literacy, the article opens new avenues for interdisciplinary collaboration between applied linguistics, educational psychology, and curriculum design. Future research might empirically investigate the long-term effects of psychologically informed language instruction on learners' cognitive flexibility, intercultural competence, and professional adaptability. Such inquiries could further substantiate the claim that language education, far from being an isolated discipline, is a cornerstone of the broader project of educating psychologically literate citizens capable of navigating an increasingly complex world.

References

- Ammon, U. *The Dominance of English as a Language of Science: Effects on Other Languages and Language Communities*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 2001.
- Arnett, J. J. "The Neglected 95%: Why American Psychology Needs to Become Less American." *American Psychologist* 63, no. 7 (2008): 602–614. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.63.7.602>
- Byram, M. *Teaching and Assessing Intercultural Communicative Competence*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters, 1997.
- Byram, M. *Teaching Intercultural Citizenship across the Curriculum: The Role of Language Education*. Strasbourg: Council of Europe Publishing, 2021.
- Coyle, D., Hood, P., și Marsh, D. *CLIL: Content and Language Integrated Learning*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- Cranney, J., și D. S. Dunn, eds. *The Psychologically Literate Citizen: Foundations and Global Perspectives*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011.
- Dewaele, J.-M., și C. Li. „The Link between Foreign Language Classroom Anxiety, Second Language Tolerance of Ambiguity and Self-Rated English Proficiency among Chinese Learners." *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching* 2, nr. 1 (2012): 47–66. <https://doi.org/10.14746/ssllt.2012.2.1.3>
- Halpern, D., ed. *Undergraduate Education in Psychology: A Blueprint for the Future of the Discipline*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2010.
- Heine, S. J. *Cultural Psychology*. 3rd ed. New York: W. W. Norton, 2016.
- Hyland, K. *Academic Discourse: English in a Global Context*. London: Continuum, 2009.
- Kim, H. „Reflective Writing in English as a Foreign Language: A Study of Psychology Students in South Korea." *Journal of Language and Education* 6, nr. 2 (2020): 64–76. <https://doi.org/10.17323/jle.2020.10312>
- McGovern, T. V., L. A. Corey, J. Cranney, W. E. Dixon Jr., J. D. Holmes, J. E. Kuebli, K. Ritchey, R. A. Smith, și S. Walker. „Psychologically Literate Citizens." În *Undergraduate Education in Psychology: A Blueprint for the Future of the Discipline*, editat de D. Halpern, 9–27. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1037/12063-000>
- Moon, J. A. *A Handbook of Reflective and Experiential Learning: Theory and Practice*. London: Routledge Falmer, 2004.
- Seidlhofer, B. *Understanding English as a Lingua Franca*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011.
- Snow, M. A., și Brinton, D. M. *The Content-Based Classroom: New Perspectives on Integrating Language and Content*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2017.
- Swain, M. "Languaging, Agency and Collaboration in Advanced Language Proficiency." În *Advanced Language Learning: The Contribution of Halliday and Vygotsky*, edited by H. Byrnes, 95–108. London: Continuum, 2006.